UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

GLEN D. SHOEMAKER,) CASE	NO. 3:06 CV 7004
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE DAVID A. KATZ
V.)	
REGINALD WILKINSON,)	OPINION AND ORDER
Defendant.)	

On January 3, 2006, plaintiff <u>pro se</u> Glen D. Shoemaker, an inmate at the North Central Correctional Institution (NCCI), filed the above-captioned action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction Director Reginald Wilkinson. The complaint alleges plaintiff contracted a staph infection in January 2004, and that he did not receive proper medical treatment from employees at the Correctional Medical Center or from those at NCCI. For the reasons stated below, this action is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).

A district court is expressly required to dismiss any civil action filed by a prisoner seeking relief from a governmental officer or entity, as soon as possible after docketing, if the court concludes that the complaint fails to state a claim upon

which relief may be granted, or if the plaintiff seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §1915A; <u>Siller v. Dean</u>, No. 99-5323, 2000 WL 145167, at *2 (6th Cir. Feb. 1, 2000).

Prisoners asserting cruel and unusual punishment must establish that prison officials have shown deliberate indifference to their needs. Wilson v. Seiter, 501 U.S. 294, 111 S.Ct. 2321 (1991). Prison officials may not deprive inmates of the "minimal civilized measure of life's necessities." Rhodes v. Chapman, 452 U.S. 337. 348 (1981).

Case: 3:06-cv-07004-DAK Doc #: 4 Filed: 03/02/06 2 of 2. PageID #: 31

It is well established that section 1983 will not support a

claim based upon a theory of <u>respondent superior</u> alone. <u>Polk County v.</u>

<u>Dodson</u>, 454 U.S. 312, 325 (1981). Supervisory officials may be deemed

liable for the unconstitutional actions of subordinates only when those

actions are the result of official policies or customs. Monell v. Dept.

of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1978). Monell extends to patterns of

misconduct in which the supervisor acquiesced. Hays v. Jefferson County,

<u>Ky.</u>, 668 F.2d 869 (6th Cir. 1982). <u>See also</u>, <u>Bellamy v. Bradley</u>, 729

F.2d 416, 421 (6th Cir. 1984) (requiring a showing that the supervisor

encouraged the specific misconduct or in some way directly participated

in it).

Even liberally construed, the complaint contains no allegation

directly connecting defendant to the asserted violation of plaintiff's

federally protected rights, or reasonably suggesting that he encouraged,

acquiesced in, or established policies resulting in the violation of such

rights.

Accordingly, this action is dismissed under section 1915A.

Further, the court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \S 1915(a)(3), that

an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ DAVID A. KATZ 3/2/2006

DAVID A. KATZ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2